**Discussion on the Research Paper** 

# "The Social Cost of Thailand's Transportation Fuel Pricing Policy"

**Discussant: Thitima Chucherd** 

**Bank of Thailand** 

Puey Ungphakorn Institute for Economic Research (PIER) Research Workshop 2016

9 July 2016

2

This paper analyzes the economic impacts of the transportation fuels' price distortion in Thailand between 2011-2015.

#### Main focus

- 1. Quantify economic inefficiency (DWL) of price distortion
- 2. How much does this tax and subsidy affect fiscal constraints?
- 3. Alternative policies to achieve 2 objectives
  - (i) Encourage consumers to substitute towards gasohol
  - (ii) Alleviate consumer's burden by making Diesel cheap

#### Estimation strategy

1. Estimate price elasticities of demand using the AIDS model



refining

Cos of carbon, local pollutants, congestion & accidents

3. DWL from over- and under-consumption due to price distortions

### Key issues affected the market in this period:

- Diesel price subsidy & Alternative fuel policy
- •The great flood (2011)
- •The 1st-car buyer scheme (2011-12),
- Global oil price crash (2015-)
- Gradual energy price reform (2014-)

### 3

## **Demand Elasticities**

#### Octane 95

Variable	P(Regular 95)	P(Gasohol 95 E10)	P(Gasohol 95 E20/E85)	
Q(Regular 95)	-2.28**	2.88**	-0.60	
Q(Gasohol 95 E10)	0.62***	-1.67***	1.05***	
Q(Gasohol 95 E20/E85)	-0.30	2.43***	-2.13***	
All Gasoline				
Variable	P(Octane 95)	P(Octane 91)	P(Diesel)	
Q(Octane 95)	-1.08**	0.68	0.40**	
Q(Octane 91)	0.97*	-1.21**	0.25	
Q(Diesel)	0.12	0.05	-0.17**	

Large own-price elasticities, but much smaller for Diesel

Close substitutes among Octane 95

How to tackle price asymmetry & many factors affected the fuel market in this period? The estimated elasticities are relatively large compared to prior studies ... Consumers are recently more responsive to price changes.

Consumer recently becomes more price elastic. Can we just interprete this way?

TABLE 3: Price elasticities of gasoline and diesel, selected studies

Study	Fuel Type	Own-price elasticity	Period
Koomsup et al. (2014)	Octane 91	-0.53	2002 - 2013
	Octane 95	-1.15	2002 - 2013
	Diesel	-0.68	2002 - 2013
Kansuntisukmonkol (2007)*	Benzene	-1.39	1993 - 2006
	Diesel	-1.07	1993 - 2006
Vikitset (2008)	Gasoline	-0.43	2002 - 2004
	Diesel	-0.35	2002 - 2004
Brons et al. (2008)	Gasoline	-0.34 (short-run)	various
	Gasoline	-0.84 (long-run)	various

\* refers to Kansuntisukmongkol and Tangkitvanich (2007)

4

Need more intuitions why the estimations are relatively large?



### Welfare Loss from Supoptimal Pricing

Efficient Consumption: All Gasoline Group



(A) Difference from the efficient prices

6

(B) Difference from the efficient quantities

Among all gasoline, Diesel is the most under-priced.

Efficient consumption depend much on how efficient prices are calculated

### Welfare Loss from Supoptimal Pricing

### • Efficient Consumption: Octane 95 Group



(A) Difference from the efficient prices

7

(B) Difference from the efficient quantities

Within this group, Gasohol 95 E20/E85 is the most under-priced.

### Welfare Loss from Supoptimal Pricing

Deadweight Loss (DWL)

8



• Tax loss is over 100 Bn.THB per year!

## 3. Policy Recommendations

#### An alternative to achive 2 objectives

- 1. Set the retail prices equal to the efficient prices by collecting excise tax or a corrective tax equal to the marginal social cost (MSC)
- 2. The price discount for gasohol should be large enough to jump start the gasohol market but not too high to induce excessive consumption ande distortion in other markets
- 3. The price discount should be removed once the market is established

#### The short-run and long-run strategies



- Collecting excise tax at MSC
- Redistribute to low-income group & logistic sector



- Gradual phrase out subsidy
- Corrective tax to improve mass transit
- Mode shift

 Proper Timing: the gradual energy reform since end 2014 & more fwd-looking policy
Future work: extend to cross subsidy in LPG

9

& NGP prices

